

HCMC Law University – 25 March 2009				
<b>Case</b>	<b>Complainant</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Third parties</b>	<b>Provisions of WTO law</b>
<b>WT/DS34</b> <i>Turkey – restrictions on imports of textile and clothing products</i>	India	Turkey	Philippines, Thailand	Article XXIV of the GATT 1994, Understanding on the interpretation of Article XXIV of the GATT 1994
<b>WT/DS54</b> <i>Indonesia – certain measures affecting the automobile industry</i>	EC, USA, Japan	Indonesia		Articles I, III of the GATT 1994, CVD Agreement
<b>WT/DS58</b> <i>United States – import prohibition of certain shrimp and shrimp products</i>	Thailand, Malaysia, India, Pakistan	United States	Philippines, Singapore	Articles I, XI, XIII, XX of the GATT 1994
<b>WT/DS122</b> <i>Thailand – anti-dumping duties on angles, shapes and sections of iron or non-alloy steel and H beams from Poland</i>	Poland	Thailand		Article VI of the GATT 1994, ADA
<b>WT/DS217</b> <i>United States – continued dumping and subsidy offset act of 2000</i>	Indonesia, Thailand, Australia, Chile, EC, Korea, India, Japan	United States		Article VI of the GATT 1994, ADA, CVD agreement
<b>WT/DS283</b> <i>European communities – export subsidies on sugar</i>	Thailand	European communities		Article III of the GATT 1994, CVD agreement, Agriculture agreement

<b>WT/DS286</b> <i>European communities – customs classification of frozen boneless chicken cuts</i>	<a href="#">Thailand</a> , Brazil	European communities		Article II of the GATT 1994
<b>WT/DS312</b> <i>Korea – anti-dumping duties on imports of certain paper from Indonesia</i>	<a href="#">Indonesia</a>	Korea		Article VI of the GATT 1994, ADA
<b>WT/DS343</b> <i>United States – measures relating to shrimp from Thailand</i>	<a href="#">Thailand</a>	United States	<a href="#">Vietnam</a> , Brazil, Chile, China, European communities, India, Korea, Japan	Articles I, II, X, XI, XX of the GATT 1994, ADA
<b>WT/DS360</b> <i>India – additional and extra-additional duties on imports from the United States</i>	United States	India	<a href="#">Vietnam</a> , Australia, Chile, European communities, Japan	Article II of the GATT 1994

\* For each case proposed, it is possible and useful to mention the application of the Dispute Settlement Understanding's provisions.

Other suggestions: US subsidies case against China on rolled steel, US-India textile case, US China auto parts case that considers NTBs.

Mazur suggestion: look at Judge Tho cases fro HCMC economic court for relevant cases